




Drain: ALBERT SHAW Drain #: 70
Improvement/Arm: _____
Operator: J. LIVINGSTON Date: 6-10-04
Drain Classification: Urban/Rural Year Installed: 1903

GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning 
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains _____
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains _____
- Digitize & Attribute SSD _____
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch 
- Stamp Plans _____
- Sum drain lengths & Validate 
- Enter Improvements into Posse _____
- Enter Drain Age into Posse 
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse 
- Check Database entries for errors _____

No.

IN THE MATTER OF

Albert Sharr

Etal Ditch

June 4. 1903

*Approved and order
constructed
Thos. Hussey
P. B.*

Report of Ditch Viewers.

COMMISSIONERS' COURT.

037.112

J. H. Howard

FILED

Filed *18* 1903

Chas Powell

Hamilton Co.

Auditor.

Wm. B. Burford, Printer, Indianapolis. 500-2-25-99.

14, 1907

To the Honorable Board of Commissioners of

Hamilton

County, Indiana:

We, the undersigned, appointed by this Court, at its *March Reg.* Term, 1903, to view a drain described in the certified copy of a petition and order of Court for a *Ditch*, by *Albert Shaw* and others, handed us by the Auditor, would represent that according to said order we, on the day named therein, to wit: The *17th* day of *March*, 1903, in company with *A. H. Suddley*, a civil engineer, made an accurate survey of the line of said proposed *Ditch*, from its source to its outlet. A plat of said *Ditch* is filed herewith, including the survey by the engineer, marked "Exhibit *B*." We caused stakes to be set along said line, each one hundred feet, numbering down stream, as shown by plat. We also made a computation of the total number of cubic yards of earth to be removed from said drain, and estimated the total cost for the construction of the work at *637.12* Dollars.

We also apportioned and set apart to each parcel of land a share of said work in proportion to the benefits received, all of which will fully appear by a tabular statement in this report. We have also set out, by a statement below, the names of the owners of lands benefited, with a description of each tract of land, and the amount assessed against each tract. The depth of cut, the width at bottom, and width at top along said drain, is also shown in a tabular statement in this report. After a full and careful view and examination, we are of the opinion that the drain, as located by the survey, will be of *public utility and benefit to two public Highways and*

the cost of construction of the same will be less than the benefits to be derived and said drainage can not be accomplished by a side drain from Sta 0 to Sta 40 and an open drain 1/2 mile in bottom from Sta 40 to Sta 46+60 or terminus and an Arroyo 2 3/4 mile tiled throughout said Ditch to be constructed as described on page 2 of this report.

The following statement shows who are benefited, the lands thereof and assessments thereon, location, length of each share, amount of assessments, amount of benefits, amount of dirt to be removed from each share, price thereof per cubic yard, and total cost of each share:

NAMES OF OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.	Widths Assessed.	Acres Benefited.	Widths Benefited.	Amount of Benefits.	Amount of Assessments.	From Station.	To Station.	Feet Long.	Cubic Yards to be Removed.	Price per Cubic Yard.	Cost of Construction of Share.
1 <i>Albert Shaw</i> <i>Same</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>40</i>			<i>240 00</i>	<i>300 00</i>						<i>240 00</i>
	<i>SE</i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>10</i>			<i>60 00</i>							
2 <i>Columbus Kerby</i> <i>Same</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>			<i>10 00</i>	<i>125 00</i>						<i>122 52</i>
	<i>SE</i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>40</i>			<i>115 00</i>							
3 <i>J. D. Hoffman</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>8</i>			<i>10 00</i>	<i>10 00</i>					<i>12</i>	<i>9.81</i>
4 <i>Mathew Arbuckle</i> <i>Same</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>40</i>			<i>85 00</i>	<i>95 00</i>					<i>57</i>	<i>23 12</i>
	<i>SE</i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>10</i>			<i>10 00</i>							
5 <i>Joshua Essix</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>NE</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>20</i>			<i>90 00</i>	<i>90 00</i>					<i>52</i>	<i>17 02</i>
6 <i>Clay Township</i> <i>Same</i>	<i>Highway through</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>				<i>20 00</i>	<i>30 00</i>					<i>51</i>	<i>24 61</i>
	<i>Barrens</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>				<i>10 00</i>						<i>51</i>	<i>24 61</i>
										<i>650 00</i>						

Viewers' Report of

Ditch.

TABULAR STATEMENT OF ASSESSMENTS, BENEFITS, ETC.

NAMES OF OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.	Widths Assessed.	Widths Benefited.	Amount of Benefits.	Amount of Assessments.	From Station.	To Station.	Feet Long.	Cubic Yards to be Removed.	Price per Cubic Yard.	Cost of Construction of Share.
<p>Description — Commencing at a point 40 rods North and 14 ft East of S.E. corner of N.E. 1/4 Section 30 Twp 18 N. Range 3 East Hamilton County, Indiana running thence South 60° W 400 ft thence South 65° W 329 ft thence South 80° W 277 ft thence S. 62° W 262 ft thence S. 70° W 380 ft thence S. 84° W 507 ft thence S. 44° W 151 ft thence S. 80° W 322 ft thence S. 18° W 322 ft thence S. 61° W 300 ft thence S. 50° W 168 ft thence S. 64° W 316 ft thence S. 35° W 216 ft thence S. 55° W 64 ft thence S. 82° W 536 ft thence S. 60° W 60 ft and terminating in an open drain about the center S. W. 1/4 Section 30 Twp 18. Range 3 East.</p> <p>Also Arroyo #1 Commencing about 10 rods North of S.E. cor of N.E. 1/4 S. W. 1/4 Sec 30 Twp 18. Range 3 East thence North 60° W 237 ft and terminating in Main Ditch at Sta 35+72.</p>															

Said Main Ditch to consist of one (1) row 8" tile from Stake 0 to Stake 6 and one (1) row 10" tile from Stake 6 to Stake 35+72 and one (1) row 14" tile from Stake 35+72 to Stake 39+92 and one (1) row 15" tile from Stake 39+92 to Stake 40 and an open ditch 1 ft wide in bottom with sides 1 to 1 or 45° from Stake 40 to Stake 46+60 or terminus Arroyo #1 to consist of one (1) row 8" tile throughout said arroyo to be connected to Main Ditch with Council's tile at Sta 35+72 on main ditch

At Sta 40 on Main Ditch to be constructed Army retaining wall dimensions 2' x 2 1/2' x 12' said wall to be built from field rock or Niagara stone laid up in mortar made from 1 part Portland cement to 3 part good chop sand. So constructed to receive tile 18" above top of wall at proper grade

At Sta 0 on Main Ditch to be built Rip Rap wall to consist of 7 cu yd stone

All corners to be deadening for a distance of 1 Rod on each side of ditch and all trees and brush to be grubbed for a distance of 15 ft on each side of ditch.

RUSSELL T. BYERS,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
Rooms 3-5 Union Trust Building,
New Phone 3982.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND., June 29, 1907

My Dear Sir:—
on behalf of M. A. Schuckle and M. A. Schuckle his wife, I hereby waive all irregularities in the proceedings of the Shaw Ditch, Clay County, township, Hamilton County, Indiana.
Respectfully,
Russell T. Byers.

GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS.

The length of the ditch as located	4660 ft	to	237 ft
The fall of the established grade is	30/100	feet, from Station	0 to Station 10 = to Each 100 feet.
" " " " "	15/100	" " " "	10 " " "
" " " " "	60/100	" " " "	20 " " "
" " " " "	75/100	" " " "	35 " " "
" " " " "	2 1/2/100	" " " "	40 " " "
The total fall =	arroyo 100/100	feet to the	Each 100 ft

All tile used in the construction of said ditch shall be first class in every respect and shall be laid in ditch at the proper grade in a true line with joints well matched and shall be kept uncovered until inspected and accepted by the County Surveyor after having accepted the tile to be covered with earth to the depth of 24" over the tile where the ditch runs in an old open channel and where the ditch is new cut the trench to be filled full

We the Viewers would further report that in our opinion it would be impracticable to allot said ditch for construction for the reason that we believe the work can be better done and in a less time to sell the same to one contractor and we recommend that the Auditor sell the same in accordance with the law as provided in such cases

Viewers { L. J. Symons
G. W. Shanley
J. W. Jessup

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 18th day of April, 1907
Jas. C. Powell Auditor Hamilton County.